

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JOHN LITTLE,	)	CASE NO. C07-1317-JCC-MAT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS
	)	
DAVE OSTER, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff has filed a *pro se* complaint in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 6, 2007, an Order issued by the Court was returned by the prison where plaintiff had been incarcerated, with a note indicating that plaintiff had been released. (Dkt. No. 13). Under Local Rule CR 41(b)(2), a party proceeding *pro se* has a duty to keep the Court and opposing parties advised as to his current address. The rule further provides that “[i]f mail directed to *pro se* plaintiff by the clerk is returned by the post office, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the court and opposing parties within sixty days thereafter of his current address, the court may dismiss

01 *the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute.”* Local Rule CR 41(b)(2) (emphasis added<sup>1</sup>).

02 Accordingly, the Court does hereby find and ORDER:

03 (1) No later than **January 7, 2008**, plaintiff must inform the Court and counsel for  
04 defendants of his current address, or this action will be dismissed pursuant to Local Rule CR  
05 41(b)(2).

06 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff at his last known  
07 address, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable John C. Coughenour.

08 DATED this 6th day of December, 2007.

09   
10 Mary Alice Theiler  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

---

21 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff was expressly advised of his duty to inform the court of his current address when  
22 he received a copy of the General Order, which contains this requirement and which the Clerk  
mailed to plaintiff on September 10, 2007. (Dkt. No. 7).